

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 Ralph HUNTZINGER, et al.,  
9 Plaintiff,  
10 v.  
11 AQUA LUNG, et al.,  
12 Defendants.

Case No.: 15-cv-1146-WQH

**ORDER re PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS  
TO COMPEL (ECF No. 146) AND TO  
SEAL (ECF No. 144)**

13  
14 Plaintiffs' motion to compel (ECF No. [146]) is granted in part and denied in part as  
15 stated on the record. (CD# 2/6/2018 AGS 18:3:59-4:41.) By February 23, 2018, defendant  
16 Suunto Oy must disclose any supplemental responsive documents and, if any responsive  
17 documents have been destroyed or are no longer in existence, it must verify which  
18 documents are destroyed or missing and the reasons therefor.

19 Additionally, Suunto Oy may provide supplemental briefing—not to exceed two  
20 pages, excluding exhibits—by February 16, 2018, describing with particularity what good  
21 cause exists to seal portions of plaintiffs' motion and exhibits. *See Kamakana v. City of*  
22 *Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006).

23  
24 Dated: February 6, 2018

25   
26 Hon. Andrew G. Schopler  
27 United States Magistrate Judge  
28